United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,411	08/25/2003	Michel K. Bowman-Amuah	60021-376302	2655
	7590 05/30/2007 ER WOLFF & DONNE	EXAMINER		
PLAZA VII, SUITE 3300			ALVAREZ, RAQUEL	
	45 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1609		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/647,411	BOWMAN-AMUAH, MICHEL K.			
omee near canmary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Raquel Alvarez	3622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprise will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AF	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	2 April 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ T					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
isposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,8-20 and 22-31 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,8-20 and 22-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Discrete Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/2/2007.		nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/647,411

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 4/2/2007.

2. Claims 1-6, 8-20 and 22-31 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8-20 and 22-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Owensby (6,647,257 hereinafter Owensby) in view of Bergqvist et al. (7,154,056 Bergqvist hereinafter).

With respect to claims 1, 4-6, 10-15, 18-20, 24-31 Owensby teaches a method for context-sensitive advertising (Abstract). Receiving a signal from a mobile wireless device (col. 12, lines 4-24); identifying an identifier associated with the mobile wireless device; ascertaining a state of the mobile wireless device (i.e. identifying the mobile ID and verifying the direct call signal communication with the mobile device)(col. 12, lines 4-37 and col. 15, lines 32-67); determining a location of the mobile device (col. 12, lines 38-60); updating the identifier, state, and location in a profile database utilizing a context engine (col. 12, lines 38-60); associating the location of the mobile wireless device and a landmark in the profile database; selecting advertisements from the advertisements database based on the identifier, state, location, and landmark of the mobile device

ý - · · ·

Application/Control Number: 10/647,411

Art Unit: 3622

utilizing the context engine; and transmitting the advertisements to the mobile wireless device (col. 14, lines 63 to col. 15, lines 1-31 and col. 16, lines 3-21).

With respect to continuously automatically updating a user profile based on access to advertisements and device access of network sites indicative of user preferences. Owensby teaches on col. 5, lines 46-67, continuously updating the user's profile based on the information received by the user on the advertisements and on col. 2, lines 47-54 providing future access to global computer networks.

Owensby is silent as far as updating the user's profile based on device access of network sites indicative of user preferences. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known in the advertisements related arts to provide access to sites based on user's preferences and to monitor how and by what means the information is being accessed by the user. For example, based on sites previously visited by a user and how the user was linked or went to the particular site is monitored in order to customize additional information, access to the information presented or sites that might be of interest to the user. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included access to the ads and device access of network sites indicative of user preferences in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

With respect to the newly added limitation of wherein the identifier includes an alias selected from a user from plurality of available aliases and wherein the user profile is unique to the selected profile. Bergqvisat teaches the user selecting from a plurality of aliases such as **private person**, **employee of a company**, etc. based on the users characteristics or profile (col. 4, lines 10-18 and 44-52). It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/647,411 Page 4

Art Unit: 3622

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included the identifier includes an alias selected from a user from plurality of available aliases and wherein the user profile is unique to the selected profile because such a modification would provide a **convenient way of providing....selected personal data relating to the role of the user** (in Bergqvisat, col. 4, lines 14-18).

With respect to claims 2-3, 16-17 Owensby further teaches that the wireless device is a cellular phone utilizing cellular technology (see Figure 1).

With respect to claims 9 and 23, Owensby further teaches that the state includes at least one of ON state (i.e. the state of the mobile device is verified by the direct call signal communication with the device, therefore the state of the mobile device has to be On in order to signal direct communication with the device (col. 12, lines 4-37).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8-20 and 22-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Point of contact

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (571)272-6715. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 3622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric w. Stamber can be reached on (571)272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raquel Alvarez

Art Unit 3622

R.A. 5/2/2007